UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,783	03/12/2004	Tohru Mamata	008312-0308754	5615	
909 7590 11/23/2007 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			EXAMINER		
			KARIMI, PEGEMAN		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			, 		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/23/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/798,783	MAMATA, TOHRU	MAMATA, TOHRU	
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Pegeman Karimi	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow 	the same day as filing a Notice oving replies: (1) an amendment, aft	f Appeal. To avoid ab fidavit, or other evider	ice, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods:	iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ly must be filed within	one of the
a) The period for reply expres <u>Three</u> months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).`		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the for statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action	ension fee under 3 or (22)t ásarth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2.	diango with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	fthe appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			Al
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(570)
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final actio n, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	A 4	
13.		LAND ANH D. NGUYEN	in Mr
		ANH D. NGUYEN	

^{*}Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations:

*"a calculator configured to calculate a target brightness level... by the detector" to indep endent claim 1,

[&]quot;the predetermined brightness step ... dividing a brightness changeable range" to independent claims 1 and 9,

[&]quot;calculating a target brightness ... with the detected lightness" to independent claim 9, requires further consideration and/or search because it was not presented before.